

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/881,075 •	06/14/2001	Daniel LeComte	1222-R-00	8011	
35811	7590 11/20/2006	•	EXAMINER		
	OF DLA PIPER US LLP		SALCE, JASON P		
ONE LIBER	Y PLACE ET ST, SUITE 4900		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	HIA, PA 19103		2623	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- <del></del>
	09/881,075	LECOMTE, DANIEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason P. Salce	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory;  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on     2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□     3)□ Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice units.	This action is non-final.  lowance except for formal matt	• •	<b>3</b>
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 39-58 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) 59-76 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 39-58 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject t	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to othe drawing(s) be held in abeyar orrection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	<b>i</b> ).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Book * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 59-76 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 9/18/2006.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 39, 43-49 and 51-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Block et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,675,384) in view of Decinque (U.S. Patent No. 6,286,139).

Referring to claim 39, Block discloses addressing a an associated portal server through a wide area network and ordering transfer of a video program to an identified video interfacing arrangement also connected to the wide area network for immediate display (see Figure 1 and Column 3, Line 55 through Column 4, Line 19 and Column 24, Lines 32-57 for a system including a portal server and a video interfacing arrangement for requesting video programs in a video on demand environment).

Block also discloses transferring the ordered program (see Column 24, Lines 32-57).

Block also discloses displaying the transferred video program upon the user's instructions, after checking the identity and rights, in accordance with optional handling restrictions (see Column 14, Lines 41-52 and Column 21, Line 52 through Column 22, Line 50 for checking the identify and rights according to a user PIN and receiving video programs according to the TIL).

Block also discloses that the video program is sent to the user in two parts, a first part corresponding to the original video program in which some of the reference images are substituted (see Column 4, Lines 20-28 for transmitting video from a substitute source) and a second part corresponding to the original reference images and allowing reconstruction of the original video program from the first part (see Column 3, Lines 35-67 for receiving the original video program, which allows the first part to be used for reconstruction when objectionable content is encountered).

Block is silent as to checking the user's authorization at the portal server.

Decinque also discloses ordering a video program from a portal server and further discloses checking the user's authorization at the portal server (see Column 4, Lines 47-65).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify to the portal server, as taught by Block, using the authorization process at the portal server, as taught by Decinque, for the purpose of verifying the identity of a customer (see Column 2, Lines 40-41).

Application/Control Number: 09/881,075

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claims 40-42, Block discloses transmitting substitute video portions along with the original video program, but is silent as to how the video signal is encoded when in digital form (see Column 3, Lines 51-54).

The examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known to encode a digital video signal transmitted from a multimedia server to a client device using the MPEG standardized format. Therefore, when a substitute and original video program are transmitted the video streams would correspond to I-frames, therefore teaching the limitations of claims 40-42.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the digital signal transmitted form the multimedia server to the client device, as taught by Block and Decinque, to use the MPEG standard for the purpose of allowing more channels to be encoded and transmitted over a broadcast network (such as digital music channels and HDTV channels).

Referring to claim 43, Block discloses that the second part is kept on the multimedia server (see again Column 24, Lines 32-57 for a video-on-demand environment which stores video at the server until requested by the user).

Referring to claim 44, Block discloses that the user's video interfacing arrangement is automatically connected to the multimedia server to get the second part to restore and display the original video program only when the user wants to watch the video program (again note Column 24, Lines 32-57 for a video-on-demand system for

Art Unit: 2623

requesting a video program only when the user wishes to view the video program, therefore teaching that the video program can be displayed and restored when the user requests the video program, which would retrieve the first and second parts).

Referring to claim 45, Block discloses that the multimedia server is connected on the wide area network (see Figure 1) and the program restoring the original video program is partially stored in the server (note that the substituted portions are only for portions of the original video program and therefore partially stored in the video on demand server (Column 24, Lines 32-57)).

Referring to claim 46, see the rejection of claim 45.

Referring to claim 47, Block discloses that the arrangement comprises a module where the program restoring the original video program is executed in the module (see Column 21, Line 52 through Column 22, Line 50).

Referring to claim 48, see the rejection of claim 45 and further note that the program restoring the original video program is executed in the server (see Column 4, Lines 20-27).

Referring to claim 49, Block discloses that the multimedia server is connected on the wide area network (see server 10 in Figure 1 and wide area network at Column 4,

Lines 4-7) and the arrangement comprises a module (see viewer program equipment 20 in Figure 1) and the program restoring the original video program is executed partially in the module (see Column 13, Line 58 through Column 14, Line 25) and partially in the server (see Column 4, Lines 47-61 for creating the TIL which allows the substitute video signal to replace the original video signal when required).

Referring to claim 50, see the rejection of claims 40-42 and further note that Block teaches encrypting the digital video signals therefore preventing illegal copying of the I-images (see Column 3, Lines 51-54).

Referring to claim 51, Block discloses that the handling restrictions are for uninterrupted display (see Column 21, Line 52 through Column 22, Line 50 for the TIL information providing uninterrupted display between the original and substitute video signals).

Referring to claims 52-54, see Column 3, Lines 51-54 for encrypting the video signal that is transmitted to the viewer and the rejection of claim 39 for the viewer providing a request via a video on demand system.

Referring to claims 55-58, see Column 4, Line 35 through Column 5, Line 25 for the customer verification teachings and the architecture presented in Figure 1 for teaching these claim limitations.

Application/Control Number: 09/881,075

Art Unit: 2623

### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason P. Salce whose telephone number is (571) 272-7301. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/881,075 Page 8

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason P Salce Primary Examiner Art Unit 2623

November 14, 2006

JASON SALCE PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER